## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BIG RED MANAGEMENT CORP., on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

ν.

ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

**CIVIL ACTION** 

NO. 20-2113-KSM

## **ORDER**

AND NOW, this 7th day of January, 2022, upon consideration of Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff Big Red Management Corp's Amended Complaint (Doc. No. 41), Plaintiff's Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim (Doc. No. 42), Defendant's Reply Brief in Further Support of Motion to Dismiss (Doc. No. 43), Plaintiff's Sur-Reply in Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim (Doc. No. 44), Defendant's Notice of Supplemental Authorities in Support of Its Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint (Doc. No. 48), Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Notice of Supplemental Authority (Doc. No. 50), Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Notices of Supplemental Authority (Doc. No. 52), Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Notices of Supplemental Authority (Doc. No. 52), Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Reply in Support of Its First and Second Notices of Supplemental Authorities (Doc. No. 55), Defendant's Second Notice of Supplemental Authorities in Support of Its Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint (Doc.

Case 2:20-cv-02113-KSM Document 61 Filed 01/07/22 Page 2 of 2

No. 51), and Defendant's Third Notice of Supplemental Authorities in Support of Its Motion to

Dismiss the Amended Complaint (Doc. No. 54), it is **ORDERED** that Defendant's Motion to

Dismiss is **GRANTED**, and Plaintiff's Amended Complaint (Doc. No. 40) is **DISMISSED** 

WITH PREJUDICE.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Karen Spencer Marston

KAREN SPENCER MARSTON, J.